Perched Erred - Chancellor
Caldwell Reconsiders Food Plan; Union Workers May Not Be Fired

by Hilton Smith
News Editor

The decision by the administration to take away food service from the Student Union is being re-examined along with other campus food decisions that were announced last week.

In a meeting yesterday with student leaders Chancellor John T. Caldwell disclosed that the decisions made last week are being reconsidered.

Technically, in those decisions was the consolidation of the food service facilities in the present Union, in the new University Student Center at downtown Durham, and in the Case Athletic Center under one outside caterer.

Also included was the announcement of the firing of all Union food service employees effective June 1, 1972. Both decisions are going to be reconsidered.

"We in the administration did not think our decision was in concert and I am confronted with many unfavorable decisions down the road and I feel any firm and irreversible decision right now unwise and I perhaps erred in trying to make one," stated Caldwell.

Wrong Assumption

The Chancellor stated that he may have been drawn into a wrong assumption. He said that the Cafeteria Advisory Committee Report, on which his decisions were based, may have put too much emphasis into saving Harris Cafeteria.

The point in the decision last week was to increase the base of the caterer at Harris Cafeteria by assigning more facilities to them. This would have kept Harris open for hot food service.

The decision to terminate food service in the Student Union was based on the assumption that the students would be unable to find suitable food service in any other institution.

"I believe we can take another look at this, with a view not to save Harris, but to make the food service at the new University Student Center a success," stated the Chancellor.

He spoke of the possibility of contracting Harris Cafeteria to an outside caterer. If they could not make a success of it, possibly the cafeteria should be closed.

Union Food

The food facilities in the present Euballoyd Union and the new University Student Center would be handled by the Union, with the new Center offering hot food service.

Caldwell speculated the Union might be able, with the two facilities, plus the vending in the two buildings to become a healthy food enterprise.

However Caldwell was concerned with the possibility that the Union might lose money in such a venture which would have to be made up through student fees. An outside caterer, if it was losing money, would not draw from University funds.

Possibly the Union could start running both facilities to see if it can work out.

"We are doing far too much theoretical thinking. Maybe we ought to go on with it now and see how it works out," stated Caldwell.

(continued on page 4.)

Students On Trustee Board

There will be six students on the Consolidated University of North Carolina Board of Trustees.

In final action yesterday with the University Parking and Traffic Committee and the University Campus Planning and Environment Committee, two alternate plans were viewed by interested students in an effort to solve State's increasing parking problem. The meeting was open to students to submit opinions and questions about the matter.

The two plans presented by Don Impeld, a representative from parking consultants Wilbur Smith and Associates offered a minimal and minimal program to take care of the campus parking situation projected for 1980.

Plan One would provide a maximum level of service. The plan includes the building of four parking decks. Possible sites for the decks are the Coliseum Parking lot, the tennis court area beside Memorial Gymnasium, in place of the greenhouses on North Campus, and the University-owned parking lot of Hillsborough Street.

Transit system would be instituted for the Fraternity Court area, McMinnville Village and the West campus parking lots. The bus system in these areas would limit Fraternity Court and McMinnville Village to one parking space instead of the two spaces they now occupy (one at their residence and one on campus).

The third element of the plan will provide controlled entry into the campus. Control points will be set at the intersection of Gates Avenue and Pullen Road, and Dunn Avenue at Pullen Road.

The cost of this plan will be approximately $20 million and maintenance of the program is at least $300,000 a year.

Plan Two would be more minimal but would include the same transit service. The plan calls for a transit system and control points. The control points would include non-campus traffic from entering the campus.

Parking Alternatives Presented At Meeting

by John Watson

In a joint meeting Thursday night with the University Parking and Traffic Committee and the University Campus Planning and Environment Committee, two alternate plans were viewed by interested students in an effort to solve State's increasing parking problem.

The meeting was open to students to submit opinions and questions about the matter.

The two plans presented by John Impeld, a representative from parking consultants Wilbur Smith and Associates offered a minimal and minimal program to take care of the campus parking situation projected for 1980.

Plan One would provide a maximum level of service. The plan includes the building of four parking decks. Possible sites for the decks are the Coliseum Parking lot, the tennis court area beside Memorial Gymnasium, in place of the greenhouses on North Campus, and the University-owned parking lot of Hillsborough Street.

Transit system would be instituted for the Fraternity Court area, McMinnville Village and the West campus parking lots. The bus system in these areas would limit Fraternity Court and McMinnville Village to one parking space instead of the two spaces they now occupy (one at their residence and one on campus).

The third element of the plan will provide controlled entry into the campus. Control points will be set at the intersection of Gates Avenue and Pullen Road, and Dunn Avenue at Pullen Road.

The cost of this plan will be approximately $20 million and maintenance of the program is at least $300,000 a year.

Plan Two would be more minimal but would include the same transit service. The plan calls for a transit system and control points. The control points would include non-campus traffic from entering the campus.

The plan will utilize at least one parking deck, most likely in the Coliseum parking lot area. The proposed deck will cost approximately $2 million to construct and will accommodate approximately three years to build.

According to Impeld, the second plan is probably the most feasible for this campus. Both plans do complement each other and either could be implemented. Total cost will be approximately $11.5 million for Plan Two.

After the presentation, questions were fielded from the audience with most of the questions being directed to Dr. L.A. Jones, chairman of the University Parking and Traffic Committee.

During the discussion, the transit system which is imminent could possibly extend from this fall on to an urban bus system. Funds to provide this service will probably come from the Traffic Committee reserve fund.

One parking deck will accommodate a thousand spaces and will cost around $300,000 a year to service the deck.

According to Jones, action for next year could include the three basic elements of the two plans. Busing would go off the campus and a parking deck could be started. All of this depends on a decision to initiate one of the plans.

Busing from the new campus, walkways, lots and future lots that could be built in that vicinity could alleviate the problem of long waiting distances from the area. The program, if initiated, will run buses twice every hour.

Dr. Jones stated that the proposals will go back to the two committees where they will be studied. The committees will then send the proposals back with recommendations to the University Campus Planning and Environment Committee for final approval.

Students will be informed over the summer by several newsletters sent out by the Traffic Committee. Jones said this may include a questionnaire.

Kent State Memorial Tonight

A statement marking the 40th anniversary of the tragedy at Kent State University was released Monday by State Student Body President Gus Guder.

A year ago this week nine students were shot down at Kent State and Jackson State while protesting the United States' invasion of Cambodia.

"This was one of the most shocking events ever to take place in our country. The events of those two days will remain in the hearts and minds of America for many years to come," stated Guder.

Guder is asking that the Bell Tower bell be flown at half-mast on May 4, 5, and 6. Black armbands will be available at the Union.

A memorial service will be held tonight at 8 p.m. on the Backyard, for those killed at Kent State and Jackson State.
The Chancellor has before him now a proposal from the University Traffic Advisory Committee, chaired by Dr. L.A. Jones, to force students to register their vehicles with the University Traffic Office at the cost of one dollar. According to W. Williams, Traffic Administrative Officer, the General Statutes of North Carolina state that the University can levy a tax on all students who own or operate a vehicle in the city of Raleigh to register it with the University.

Last week, Jones informed the Technical this rule now exists in the Traffic Rules and Regulations requiring students to register their vehicles for the one dollar fee and that they can then purchase parking stickers for an additional $24. Jones said the rule had just never been strictly enforced. Jones further commented the rule was for the good of the student in that it would help to curb vandalism, would assist officers in determining the owner of the car in case of an accident, and further enable campus security to ascertain whether a vehicle belonged to a student or someone else.

Sure it would.

The Future campus parking and traffic

Caldwell

The University Administration has reconsidered.

In an action last week, Chancellor Caldwell handed his answers to the Cafeteria Advisory Committee's report. These answers included a re-evaluation of residence hall floor kitchens, turning the Union food service over to a private caterer who would also control the food service. Some members of the Committee immediately began drafting a reply to the Chancellor's statements calling for him to reconsider. The Student Senate passed a resolution unanimously disagreeing with Caldwell's answers.

The student body's feelings about the food matter have kept student government officers filled to overflowing this week and Chancellor Caldwell is presently reconsidering the alternatives.

In a letter to the Daily, Caldwell stated many of his decisions had been misinterpreted.

His use of the word “reorganize” in his answer to the Committee's recommendation of continuing the use of residence hall floor kitchens, was misinterpreted, according to Caldwell, as meaning serious consideration would be given. It was not mentioned, he said, and some thought might be given to closing down the ones presently in operation. This is not the case, said Caldwell. He said he merely meant that

Alternatives?

The campus food service problem could best be settled by adhering to the Committee's recommendations since the very reason for their existence was to study the food problem and make necessary recommendations for change to the Chancellor. The Chancellor has, in fact, approved their findings and recommendations.

The real power in the Consolidated University Board of Trustees does not rest with the full board which meets four times per year, but with the Executive Committee. The Executive Committee is elected by the entire board, and there is a possibility that one of the six student members might be elected to the Board.

The North Carolina House of Representatives passed a bill yesterday allowing for the presidents of the state supported universities to become members of the board of trustees. The House vote followed approval by the Senate thus making the change law.

Two years ago a similar move was defeated by the General Assembly. The bill was defeated as a protest against student unrest on the college campuses. This year, there has been less unrest on the campuses which aided in the bill's passage. Also, passage of the bill was a political gift to the younger voters on the college campuses. By the next elections, all probability college students will be able to vote because of the constitutional amendment to lower the voting age to 18.

Minor Victory

College students may have won a minor political victory in getting the presidents of the student bodies on the board of trustees, but under closer analysis the victory is more of a symbolic one. Gun Guiler and the other student body presidents of the Consolidated University's Board of Trustees will be only six of the 106 members on the Board.

They certainly will not be able to carry much weight at the board meetings. The biggest advantage is that the student body presidents will be able to debate and express opinions in the trustee meetings.

The real power in the Consolidated University Board of Trustees does not rest with the full board which meets four times per year, but with the Executive Committee. The Executive Committee is elected by the entire board, and there is a possibility that one of the six student members might be elected to the Executive Committee. The election of a student to the Executive Committee would be a major step forward for the students. In such a case the students would have an effective voice in the closed meetings of the Executive Committee where most of the major decisions affecting the University are made.

It has been the hope of one of the student body presidents that the board will be elected to the Executive Committee. It will be in the move in the right direction towards improving presently non-existent student input into the decision-making body of the University.

Student trustee bill passes

"Oh, I wish I were an Oscar Mayer weiner. That's what I truly want to be-e-e-e. Because if I were an Oscar Mayer weiner, everyone would be in love with me-e-e-e." —a refrain to all those tired, frustrated, studied-out students who got the big, green weenie during exams.
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Chancellor Caldwell’s Plan Hits Campus
With The Effect of A ‘Bombshell’ At Union

EDITORS NOTE: The following article was written by News Editor, Mr. Smith, as a member of the California Advisory Committee. The article was written before the Chancellor made his decision to re-employ Chancellor Caldwell, his decision concerning campus food service was announced.

While the Caldwell administration agree this is probably one of the biggest "bombshells" on the campus this year. A major food crisis a year ago including a controversial switch in the food service, under the Business Office, a steady drop in cafeteria patronage, and an increase in the number of refrigerators in the dorm rooms caused the Chancellor to direct the California Advisory Committee to come up with a total food service plan.

The Committee made its report in early March and recommended the following food service plan for Union under a new independent campus food service agency.

The elimination of Union food service has already been initiated by the Administration. All 28 Union food service employees have been fired effective June 1, 1972.

This action is deceptive since most employees will drop out as soon as they can find new jobs. Union officials have already terminated 10 banquet service employees this spring, because of the uncertainty of available employees. In fact, the takeover of the Union by a private catering firm is probably only months away.

Union Employees Await Decision

by Hilton Smith

Union food service employees are taking a wait-and-see attitude following announcements that their jobs will be eliminated effective June 1, 1972.

The announcement of the firing of the employees was made in connection with a report issued last week by Chancellor T. Caldwell. The report stated that all food service workers be taken out from under the Union and would be given an outside caterer.

This outside caterer, under the plan, would also run the facilities at the new University Student Centers, Harris Cafeteria, and the Case Athletic Center.

"I don't think there will be much of a change. Most of the employees went through this eight months ago when the switch was made to Slater. They will more or less stay around with the other competitors," stated University Food Service Director Mr. Dalrymple.

Edith Lloyd, Union Director, or Henry Bowes confirmed that A.R.A. Slater operates the Union facility about eight years ago.

"This was at the time when they were given the contract to operate the other food service on the campus. They operated the cafeteria under Union food service, but not the snack bars," explained Mr. Bowes.

According to Bowers the main reason for this was that Union food service would just come under the State Personnel Act and it was thought an outside caterer could operate more flexibly.

"Switched Back"

"We switched back several years ago because we felt the service was not satisfactory. It may have been the fault of the Union manager. I don't know," said Mr. Dalrymple.

Asked about the possibility of Slater taking over the operation again, Dalrymple said, "If it was ever a con- cern, Slater would do just as good a job as any other company." They are one of the top in the nation in the catering field.

No decision has yet been made by the Administration as to which outside caterer will be chosen. Sources at the Business Office say that the decision will be made soon.

The switch was made back when the idea of the cafeteria was first mentioned. The switch was made after the Union had been put in charge.

Students who patronize the Union snack bar may find new service employees next year. Chancellor John Caldwell is now considering the matter of campus food service.

Notes on Social Work

The Chancellor is the only person to take over the position of social work in recent years. The position of social work was first established in 1928.

Eleven Make Soph

The Order of Thirty and Three, sophomore honorary fraternity at Stale has added 11 outstanding students for the 1972-73 academic year.

Students are selected on the basis of scholarship and student leadership.

Eleven Make Soph

Students are selected on the basis of scholarship and student leadership.

The Order of Thirty and Three was founded in 1933. It is composed of 11 sophomores and 11 junior seniors.

Orders of the organization are to promote the welfare of North Carolina State University to raise school standards and to cooperate with alumni, friends of the University in working for the best interests of North Carolina State University at large.

The Four Gods and seven men named to the honor society, their fields of study, and offices are:

John R. Boone, agricultural engineering, Textilist; D. Brown, food science, Rockwell; William M. Edgar, engineering, operations engineering, Pikeville; Conrad B. Ehrhardt, food science, Randolph; Jack W. Laney, electrical engineering, Raleigh; Arthur J. Lee, textile technology, Fayetteville; Lee K. Phillips, history, Winnsboro; S.C., Kay J. Sloop, physical science, Mt. Ida; Kevin D. Stott, horticulture, Madison; Carl V. Tar, Jr., agricultural education, Zebulon; and Kay F. Williams, politics, Raleigh.

Listings For A New Calendar

All student organizations planning social events for the coming year are reminded to let the Office of the Union Program Office to apply for the 1971-72 Calendar. Deadline is Friday, May 14, 1971.
Caldwell Reconsiders Plan

Student Reaction

Reaction to last week's plan has been relatively strong among students. The Student Senate unanimously passed a resolution last week asking reconsideration of the food service decisions. Several members of the Cafeteria Advisory Committee were the signatories on a letter to Caldwell asking for a re-study. In the area of floor kitchens Caldwell stated that the policy is under revision and that no decision concerning the kitchens in the residence halls has been made. He said students will be consulted. All aspects of the food decisions made last week are under review.

"I want to say confidently that no firm decision will be arrived at without consultation with responsible student leaders," concluded the Chancellor.

1. Admissions policies, while encouraging more and more high school students to attend college, perpetuate a "socially-conditioned reflex" to continue in school because "it's the thing to do." "The longer students remain in the academic atmosphere," the report says, "the more some become dependent upon it because it is the only life they know. With the exception of summer jobs, most young people in college have no first-hand knowledge of any occupation save that of being a student." The solution, the task force says, is to encourage admission of older students after they break up their years of schooling for at least two years.

2. Curriculums at most colleges and universities reflect "the growth of federal support (that) has enabled (them) to expand into graduate education and to hire faculties oriented to academic disciplines rather than career-related programs." No longer is there a choice among "different modes of learning, but between institutions which differ in the extent to which they conform to the model of the prestige university."

To change direction, the nine-man group--including Harvard student James Rodhe, who served on the President's Commission on Campus Uproar last year--urges the formation of "new educational enterprises," reflecting innovations forwarded by "energetic, imaginative individuals." Funding would allow faculties to "create a 'market' for education"--much as the often debated "voucher system" in primary and secondary schools would permit students to pick their style and place of education. Faculty culpability in the "homogenization of higher education" has resulted directly from the post-World War II belief that "there is only one mode of teaching and learning--the academic mode." The so-called "professionalization of academic faculties" means that professors view themselves as "independent professionals responsible to their guilds rather than to the institutions which pay their salaries. Those who drift and the academic obligations of specialization, research and publication are themselves slighted in promotion, esteem and influence."

Faculty isolated

"Moreover," the task force adds, "inadequately the majority of faculty members spend any time in jobs outside the university. The "educational isolation"--gritting students has taken hold of today's younger faculty members who are in no position to advise or to shape the 'outside world.'"

The solution to this problem, the group says, is "for colleges and universities to leave their faculties with practitioners who are understanding in their jobs, and eager to bring ingenuity to bear on transmitting their own competence at confidence... They would be given full status within the institution... and play a large part in making decisions about the shape of the educational program."

4. Bureaucracy in higher education--especially in multi-campus systems like the University of California--has meant simply "loss of the same." Presidents and chancellors have lost much authority over resource allocation, while "state governors and legislators are assuming a much more active role in campus affairs."

5. "Political safety, rather than educational leadership, becomes the priority." As universities are organized more and more for the benefit of administration, "the more difficult it is for (a new idea to) make its way." Presidents and chancellors have lost much authority over resource allocation, while "state governors and legislators are assuming a much more active role in campus affairs."

6. "Political safety, rather than educational leadership, becomes the priority." As universities are organized more and more for the benefit of administration, "the more difficult it is for (a new idea to) make its way." Presidents and chancellors have lost much authority over resource allocation, while "state governors and legislators are assuming a much more active role in campus affairs."

"The political climate is not conducive to serious educational change. The task force says, "Political safety, rather than educational leadership, becomes the priority." As universities are organized more and more for the benefit of administration, "the more difficult it is for (a new idea to) make its way." Presidents and chancellors have lost much authority over resource allocation, while "state governors and legislators are assuming a much more active role in campus affairs."

6. "Political safety, rather than educational leadership, becomes the priority." As universities are organized more and more for the benefit of administration, "the more difficult it is for (a new idea to) make its way." Presidents and chancellors have lost much authority over resource allocation, while "state governors and legislators are assuming a much more active role in campus affairs."
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